



The Exercise Technique Column provides detailed explanations of proper exercise technique to optimize performance and safety.

COLUMN EDITOR: Jay Dawes, PhD, CSCS*D, NSCA-CPT*D, FNCSA

The Long-Lever Posterior-Tilt Plank

Brad J. Schoenfeld, MSc, CSCS, CSPS, NSCA-CPT¹ and Bret M. Contreras, MA, CSCS²

¹Department of Health Sciences, Lehman College, Bronx, New York; and ²AUT University, Auckland, New Zealand

ABSTRACT

THE LONG-LEVER POSTERIOR-TILT PLANK IS AN ADVANCED VERSION OF THE TRADITIONAL PRONE PLANK DESIGNED TO IMPOSE A GREATER STIMULUS ON THE CORE MUSCULATURE AND THUS PROVIDE BETTER UTILITY FOR THOSE WHO ARE WELL TRAINED.

The traditional prone plank (TPP) is a popular fitness exercise used extensively in both physical conditioning (2) and rehabilitative (1) settings. Although the TPP has proven to be an effective movement for a variety of fitness goals and abilities, it may not effectively challenge the neuromuscular system in more experienced exercisers (8,10). The long-lever posterior-tilt plank (LLPTP) is an advanced version of the TPP designed to impose a greater stimulus on the core musculature. The LLPTP modifies the TPP in 2 primary ways: first, the elbows are positioned closer together and further toward the head compared to the TPP. Second, the gluteal muscles are isometrically activated to elicit a posterior pelvic tilt, whereas the pelvis is maintained in neutral position in the TPP. In combination,

these modifications significantly increase the difficulty of the move and thus can help to elicit a greater adaptive response in those that are well trained.

MUSCLES USED

Studies show that performance of the TPP involves most of the major muscles of the core, including the internal oblique, rectus abdominis, external oblique, erector spinae, latissimus dorsi, multifidus, gluteus maximus, and gluteus medius, (5–7). Although no study to date has directly investigated muscle activation in the LLPTP, it stands to reason that muscle involvement would be comparable given the fundamental similarities between variations. Based on applied biomechanical principles and implied inference of experimental research, it seems reasonable to speculate that recruitment of the core musculature would be enhanced in the LLPTP compared with the TPP. The longer lever length and narrower base of support associated with the LLPTP makes the exercise less stable as decreased stability has been shown to significantly increase core muscle activity during performance of various core exercises, including the prone plank (4,5). Furthermore, holding an isometric posterior

pelvic tilt has been shown to generate greater muscle activation in the lower rectus abdominis, upper rectus abdominis, external oblique, erector spinae, and multifidus musculature in both healthy subjects (11) and those with low back pain (3). Similarly, performing dynamic exercise (hip extension and double straight leg lifts) in posterior pelvic tilt increases core activation in various core muscles when compared with performing these movements in anterior pelvic tilt or neutral pelvic positions (9,12). Taken as a whole, the evidence strongly indicates that the LLPTP promotes a heightened challenge to the core musculature compared with the TPP.

EXERCISE TECHNIQUE

To perform the LLPTP, the individual begins by lying facedown on the floor, with the feet together, and the spine and pelvis neutrally aligned. The hands should be balled into fists and kept in neutral position (i.e., thumbs up and little fingers on the floor). The elbows are spaced approximately 6 inches apart at nose level. To increase the level of difficulty, the elbows can be moved even more superiorly, which further augments lever length. The



Figure. Long-lever posterior-tilt plank.

individual lifts the body up on the forearms and toes so that the elbows are kept at an approximate 100-degree angle, and the torso and lower extremities form a straight line with the lumbar spine in neutral position. The gluteals are then contracted as strongly as possible while the individual attempts to draw the pubic bone toward the belly button and the tailbone toward the feet (see Figure). This position should be held while the individual continues to attempt to maximally contract the glutes. Any movements that deviate from the technique described would be considered compensatory and should be avoided. For example, a common error is allowing the hips to drop or sag when in fact the body should remain rigid throughout performance. Coaches should pay particular attention to the level of glute contraction and cue for increased glute activation if they visually notice a decline in gluteal firmness or posterior pelvic tilt. An exercise mat can be used to alleviate any discomfort on the

distal upper extremity joints and musculature.

The duration and number of sets should be based on fitness level and training goals, and more basic plank variations should be mastered before attempting the LLPTP. A basic routine would be to perform 2–3 sets of 10- to 30-second isoholds. As the individual becomes more skilled in exercise performance, longer durations, and/or additional sets can be implemented to further challenge the core musculature.

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: The authors report no conflicts of interest and no source of funding.

Brad J. Schoenfeld is a lecturer in the exercise science program at City University of New York, Lehman College and is pursuing his PhD at Rocky Mountain University.

Bret M. Contreras is a Strength and Conditioning Coach in Phoenix, Arizona and is currently pursuing his PhD in Sports Science at AUT University.

REFERENCES

1. D'Amico J, Betlach M, Senkarik R, Smith R, and Voight M. Return to golf following left total Hip arthroplasty in a golfer who is right handed. *N Am J Sports Phys Ther* 2: 251–261, 2007.
2. Hofstetter MC, Mader U, and Wyss T. Effects of a seven-week outdoor circuit training program on Swiss Army recruits. *J Strength Cond Res* 26: 3418–3425, 2011.
3. Hubley-Kozey CL and Vezina MJ. Muscle activation during exercises to improve trunk stability in men with low back pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 83: 1100–1108, 2002.
4. Imai A, Kaneoka K, Okubo Y, Shiina I, Tatsumura M, Izumi S, and Shiraki H. Trunk muscle activity during lumbar stabilization exercises on both a stable and unstable surface. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 40: 369–375, 2010.
5. Lehman GJ, Hoda W, and Oliver S. Trunk muscle activity during bridging exercises on and off a Swiss ball. *Chiropr Osteopat* 13: 14, 2005.
6. McGill SM and Karpowicz A. Exercises for spine stabilization: motion/motor patterns, stability progressions, and clinical technique. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 90: 118–126, 2009.
7. Oliver GD, Dwelly PM, Sarantis ND, Helmer RA, and Bonacci JA. Muscle activation of different core exercises. *J Strength Cond Res* 24: 3069–3074, 2010.
8. Parkhouse KL and Ball N. Influence of dynamic versus static core exercises on performance in field based fitness tests. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 15: 517–524, 2011.
9. Queiroz BC, Cagliari MF, Amorim CF, and Sacco IC. Muscle activation during four Pilates core stability exercises in quadruped position. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 91: 86–92, 2010.
10. Shinkle J, Nesser TW, Demchak TJ, and McMannus DM. Effect of core strength on the measure of power in the extremities. *J Strength Cond Res* 26: 373–380, 2012.
11. Vezina MJ and Hubley-Kozey CL. Muscle activation in therapeutic exercises to improve trunk stability. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 81: 1370–1379, 2000.
12. Workman JC, Docherty D, Parfrey KC, and Behm DG. Influence of pelvis position on the activation of abdominal and hip flexor muscles. *J Strength Cond Res* 22: 1563–1569, 2008.